

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT TACOMA

8 RICHARD ARLEY FISHER,

CASE NO. C24-5484 BHS

9 Petitioner,

ORDER

10 v.

11 JEFFERY PERKINS,

12 Respondent.

13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on pro se petitioner Richard Fisher's motion  
14 to compel filing fee. Dkt. 29. The Court adopted Magistrate Judge Fricke's Report and  
15 Recommendation (R&R), Dkt. 20, denied Fisher's § 2254 habeas petition and declined to  
16 issue a certificate of appealability. Dkt. 21. The Court denied Fisher's subsequent motion  
17 for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*, Dkt. 26, because it appeared he had the ability to  
18 pay the filing fee. Dkt. 28.

19 Fisher now asks the Court to "provide the petitioner the filing fee to file his notice  
20 of appeal." Dkt. 29 at 1. There is no authority for such a motion and the Court does not  
21 pay the filing fee on behalf of plaintiffs or appellants that have been denied *in forma*  
22 *pauperis status*. Fisher's motion, Dkt. 29, is **DENIED**.

1 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

2 Dated this 4th day of March, 2025.

3  
4 

5 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
6 United States District Judge  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22